

Questionnaires génériques

Instrument	Langues disponibles	Traduction française	Nombre de citations Pubmed 2020-2025	Nombre d'items	Objectifs
Glasgow Benefit Inventory (GBI)[1]	3	Traduite non validée	79	18 (3 sous parties)	Evaluation du bénéfice et conséquences des thérapies spécifiquement en ORL
Glasgow Children Benefit Inventory[2]	3	Non	12	24	Evaluation du bénéfice et conséquences des thérapies spécifiquement en ORL pédiatrique
SF-36[3]	>20	Perneger et al 1995[4]	653	36	Mesure générique de la qualité de vie liée à la santé (HR-QOL) en population générale
Short Form-12 (SF-12)[5]	>20	Gandek et al 1998[6]	2981	12	Version abrégée du Short Form-36 qui couvre les mêmes dimensions
EQ-5D[7]	>20	Perneger et al 2010[8]	2526	5 + EVA	Evalue 5 dimensions de la santé (mobilité, autonomie, vie quotidienne, douleurs et inconfort, retentissement psychologique)
WHOQoL-BREF[9]	>20	Baumann et al 2010[10]	1455	26	Évaluer les perceptions des individus sur la qualité de leur vie.

1. Robinson K, Gatehouse S, Browning GG (1996) Measuring patient benefit from otorhinolaryngological surgery and therapy. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 105:415–422. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000348949610500601>
2. Kubba H, Swan IRC, Gatehouse S (2004) The Glasgow Children's Benefit Inventory: a new instrument for assessing health-related benefit after an intervention. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 113:980–986. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000348940411301208>

3. Brazier JE, Harper R, Jones NM, et al (1992) Validating the SF-36 health survey questionnaire: new outcome measure for primary care. *BMJ* 305:160–164.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.305.6846.160>
4. Perneger TV, Leplège A, Etter JF, Rougemont A (1995) Validation of a French-language version of the MOS 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) in young healthy adults. *J Clin Epidemiol* 48:1051–1060. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356\(94\)00227-h](https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(94)00227-h)
5. Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD (1996) A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 34:220–233.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003>
6. Gandek B, Ware JE, Aaronson NK, et al (1998) Cross-validation of item selection and scoring for the SF-12 Health Survey in nine countries: results from the IQOLA Project. *International Quality of Life Assessment. J Clin Epidemiol* 51:1171–1178. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356\(98\)00109-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356(98)00109-7)
7. EuroQol Group (1990) EuroQol--a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy (Amsterdam, Netherlands)* 16:199–208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8510\(90\)90421-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8510(90)90421-9)
8. Perneger TV, Combescure C, Courvoisier DS (2010) General population reference values for the French version of the EuroQol EQ-5D health utility instrument. *Value Health* 13:631–635.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4733.2010.00727.x>
9. (1998) Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL Group. *Psychol Med* 28:551–558.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291798006667>
10. Baumann C, Erpelding M-L, Régat S, et al (2010) The WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire: French adult population norms for the physical health, psychological health and social relationship dimensions. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 58:33–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respe.2009.10.009>